Idealism: Berkeley		
What is it?		Berkeley's attack on the primary and secondary qualities distinction
 ideas. Physical objucts exist independent of the perceived. The collections of appearing in the Berkeley arguments sustained in perceived by God. 	ts are minds and their ects therefore do not endently of being They are no more than of ideas or sense data in minds. gues that the universe is existence through being y the infinite mind of	 Both P and S qualities do not resemble anything beyond the mind. We can conceive of an object without secondary qualities and therefore these too must be essential to our idea of an object. Try to imagine an object with only the primary qualities. When we try to do this, we imagine it with colour, therefore we cannot distinguish between primary and secondary qualities, both are equally mind-dependent. P1: It is impossible to imagine an object with just P qualities. C1: so our ideas of secondary qualities of an object cannot be separated from those of its primary qualities. C2: So they must exist together. P2: Indirect realists accept that our ideas of secondary qualities are mind-dependent. C3: So our ideas of primary qualities are also mind-dependent. C3: So our ideas of primary qualities are also mind-dependent. Perceptual variation for Berkeley shows that P and s qualities are not distinguishable. As shape, size and movement are subject to perceptual changes and so cannot be considered as real properties of external objects. P1: What looks small to us will look big to a fly. P2: Material objects cannot be small and big at the same time. C:Therefore, size cannot be a property of material objects. P1: The perceived shape of an object changes depending on the angle of observation.
Criticism of Berkel qualities distinctio	ey's primary and secondary on	P2: But an object cannot have different shapes at the same time. C: Therefore, shape cannot be a property of material objects And so on, Berkeley's conclusion is that primary qualities are mind-dependent, just like secondary qualities
depending on the perceiver. But, it de themselves cannot	and secondary qualities can vary position and so forth of the oes not follow that the qualities t be mind-independent. shape or motion of an object	Run that bomb is gonna explodel the bomb? It's just vour imagination.

P1: Apparent size, shape or motion of an object varies.P2: A material object's objective properties cannot

vary. C: Therefore, apparent size, shape and motion

cannot be objective properties of material objects. But material objects can still have some specific size, shape and motion independent of the mind.

